

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/550,584	SHINOZAKI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Vinod Kumar	1638	

All Participants:

(1) Vinod Kumar.

(2) Oleg Ioselevich (Reg. No. 41,240).

Date of Interview: 22 August 2007

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: Allowance

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 11 am

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1-6, 10-13, 20-21

Prior art documents discussed:

None


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)